I. Roll Call

Meeting called to order at 5:35 p.m. by Board of Education Member and Committee Co-Chair, Mr. Matt Bauman

The attendance sheet was used in place of an official roll call.

Members in Attendance: Robert Allison, Mike Barr, Derrick Berlin, Christine Jaegle, Danny Kenyon, Douglas Pfeiffer, Russell Pietrowiak, Mindy Renier, Jennifer Smith, Allison Sulkson, Amy Underwood, Heather Warner

Board Member Co-Chairs: Matt Bauman and Lauri Doyle
Dr. Matthew Wendt, Superintendent
Mr. Ali Mehanti, Assistant Superintendent for Business & Operations

Guest in Attendance: Dr. Judith Minor, Mary Geraghty, Andrew McCree, Mike Elliott, Clayton Haldeman

Members Absent: Dan Wons

Recording Secretary: Ursula Coan

II. Approval of Minutes from February 1, 2016

A motion was made by Matt Bauman to approve the minutes from the February 1, 2016 meeting. Lauri Doyle seconded the motion. All members in attendance agreed unanimously to approve the minutes as presented.

III. Tour of Oswego 308 Center

All members took a tour of the Oswego 308 Center. The committee members looked at the facilities for the G.O.A.L Program, Opportunity Program, Transition Program as well as the spaces occupied by the YMCA and the Senior Citizen Center.
Dr. Wendt stated that we currently do not have a G.O.A.L Program for Elementary students. The reasons are not because it is not needed, but because we do not have the right space available for it. It is not just about square footage, it is about location.

IV. Discussion: Options for Future Use of East View, Brokaw, 308 Center and G.O.A.L. Facilities

Architects Clayton Haldeman and Mike Elliot presented the options that the committee asked for at the last meeting on February 1. The BOE asked to see some other options to show how we ended up at the proposals that were discussed at the last meeting.

Questions and Comments:

- The committee agreed that students need to be moved out of the O3C building
- To retrofit the O3C center would lead to extra costs long term.
- We know that the square footage exists in this building to provide the spaces the Administration Center would need.
- We do not have the exact design yet for what that might look like.
- East Wing – tear it down or decommission it?
- What is the annual operation cost of O3C?
- Kluber suggests to decommission it over tear down so that we would have choices down the road if more space was ever needed.
- What would be an appropriate amount of money to invest to rehab the East Wing so it could be used in the future
- The property is far more valuable than the building itself. Would it be in our best interest to sell the building and build a new Administration Center someplace else?
- The BOE has two leases to consider in the next few month, the Senior Center and the YMCA
- What else could this building be used for?
- The committee agreed that students need to be moved out of the O3C building.
- Community value of the O3C Center that needs to be considered.
- Could we just keep it as a Community Building and rent the spaces?
- Should O3C be torn down?
- There are numerous agencies that could potentially be housed in this building should we choose to go that way (Food Pantry, Health Clinic, Service Agencies, United Way etc.)
- We would very much like for the Transition Program to move to a house.
- The difference between rehabbing this facility to be the new Administration Center and building a new facility is 2.5 million.
- The board has not moved to a full pre-school program in district 308. When we look at East View, we like the idea that the Pre-school program can grow. There is nothing wrong with parts of the building not being used for a couple years and the program having room to grow.
- Brokaw would be a good facility for the G.O.A.L and the Opportunity School
• What happens to this building does not affect what happens to the other programs. The DAC portion of East View will not need to be used right away for the Early Childhood Program.

• East View would vacate first and at that point boundary issues would need to be addressed.
• The issue of what to do with this building has to be separate from the student issues we are addressing.
• With boundary lines being addressed, we probably can go another 5 years before needing another Elementary School.
• The land would be worth 3.7 million, but it would not be that easy to find a buyer.
• Nobody was in favor of expanding Brokaw.
• It would be easy for OHS students to volunteer at Early Childhood with it being across the street
• The general consensus was for scenario 3 without the last piece (Consolidate DAC functions at 308 Center)
• What would it cost for us to rent enough office spaces for DAC staff?
• Time line of this project – when we pull from the non-referendum bonds, it would be in our best interest to borrow the entire amount at once and use it within 3 years.

Architects will work on a presentation, outlining the different option of scenario 3. We will need to have community discussions regarding what to do with the 308 Center, since this building is a big part of our community. The Committee is not comfortable making a decision on the Administration Center, but they are in agreement on recommending the rest of scenario 3 to the BOE. The first discussion needs to be if Kindergarten is moving to home schools which will get the ball rolling on the boundary line issue. We also need to discuss the 5-year Maintenance and Facility Plan. That should also be a priority.

V. Public Comment
   *There were no individuals present for public comment*

VI. Adjourn
   *Meeting was adjourned: 7:45 p.m.*